



**I-95 Corridor Coalition  
2005 Annual Meeting  
(Responses received through 2/14/06)**

**Evaluation Summary**

Rating Scale: 1 – Poor  
2 – Fair  
3 – Good  
4 – Excellent

**Day 1**

		Rating				
		1	2	3	4	Avg.
1.	<b><i>Overall how informative or relevant were the following sessions?</i></b>					
	New York State Showcase					
	Changing Org Capacity to Deliver Operations	1	6	23	7	3.0
	Roundtable Discussion/Conversation Circle	3	7	20	7	2.8
	Luncheon Presentation		4	13	18	3.4
	Executive Board Meeting					
	Project Presentations	1	2	23	5	3.0
	Reauthorization Update		2	20	11	3.3
	VII Overview		1	19	11	3.3
	Poster Sessions		7	21	4	2.9
	Dinner Presentation		3	12	15	3.4
Comments:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good to have host state showcased.</li> <li>• Food was wonderful! Everything was well-organized! Great job overall!</li> <li>• Much talk about how NYSDOT was reorganizing and refocusing, but little attention to what real changes other than titles have been made.</li> <li>• Roundtable discussion and conversation circle did not make the cut. I felt as if I was watching a bunch of old friends talking shop over a few drinks after work. On the other hand, Harold Linnenkohl was a thoroughly interesting and entertaining speaker! John Ficker, the evening speaker, was excellent, too.</li> <li>• Wish I had more time during the poster session.</li> <li>• Evening speaker was okay, but it was a long day.</li> <li>• Separate HOGs meeting from the Annual Meeting. The HOGs meeting had insufficient time assigned to it.</li> <li>• Poster Sessions were too crowded.</li> <li>• Dinner Speaker – Always good to have a preacher preach!</li> <li>• Excellent mix of topics. Well planned &amp; executed. Perhaps a more central location for future meetings to attract more people (Northern VA?). Presenters were very skilled. Paniati especially good rep from Feds – would appreciate his follow-on next year.</li> <li>• I came away with many new thoughts – the Annual Meeting was truly educational for me. I also enjoyed the interaction with the attendees. Excellent job! Of course, the Auto Museum, the town, the hotel and the food were great, too.</li> <li>• Dinner was great and the speakers were interesting.</li> <li>• Didn't attend a few things so I didn't comment. Good meeting overall. Liked the snowy night the first night and the venues. Better than being cooped up in a hotel ballroom. Need to try to find a similar location for 2006 – but warmer.</li> <li>• Only was able to attend the first day – it was excellent enuf on its own to have justified</li> </ul>						

the 9 hour roundtrip by auto.

- Meeting was well organized with interesting speakers and content.
- A more balanced approach to the issues --- I was surprised not to hear any opposition to private financing or from any counter viewpoint.
- Change the Executive Board meeting to a more formal and less public session. This is a rare opportunity for an exchange of ideas among the leadership and feedback to committee chairs. The setting in Saratoga was not conducive to this interplay. A roundtable would be better and I don't think, given the participants, that this needs facilitation.
- Overall, a great meeting!! The only thing you may want to consider, in the event that the next meeting comes up against the holidays, is building in a bit more free time. Also, if you're going in the "colder" months, then head south. If it's late summer or early fall, head north!
- The question and answer periods where people did not have to go up to the stage to make comments or ask questions seemed to work out better than inviting people to come up and sit in the big comfy chairs.
- Very well run and planned annual meeting. I really appreciated the Coalition providing transportation from the Albany airport to Saratoga Springs. That went over and above the call of duty.

		Rating				
		1	2	3	4	Avg.
2.	<b><i>Did the pre-meeting mailing help set the stage for the meeting?</i></b>		3	11	23	3.5

		Rating				
		1	2	3	4	Avg.
3.	<b><i>Did the event meet your expectations?</i></b>			16	22	3.6
Comments:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I think that sessions focused on one organization's efforts have limited value. Info exchanges &amp; topic-focused sessions that collect various points of view work better.</li> <li>• Information exchange opportunities were excellent, as always.</li> <li>• Would like registration list in advance – even if it changes.</li> <li>• Overall, the meeting did meet my expectations. I found it to be interesting and informative. More than that, you could not ask for.</li> <li>• Good audience interaction – pointed questions asked and addressed.</li> <li>• How can we work with trucking community to see the benefits of tolls for increased capacity &amp; trip reliability?</li> </ul>						

4.	<b><i>What type of follow-up would you like to see resulting from this meeting?</i></b>					
Comments:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Send presentations to everyone, or post on web-site. Suggest requiring standard font size (so slides are all readable) on all presentations.</li> <li>• Use of website to see what other program tracks are doing for Year 14. Provide summary, then drill down in specific areas.</li> <li>• We should look at Jeff Paniati's "areas of opportunity" &amp; merge them with the Coalition's areas of guidance for the next work plan.</li> <li>• Summary and presentations (links) on the website.</li> <li>• Notification via e-mail of presentations on website. Also contact coordinates of all participants.</li> <li>• Posting presentations on website.</li> <li>• Update on SAFETEA-LU funding for I-95 and ITS-related projects.</li> </ul>						

- Materials on the web site ASAP, including non-presentations like Gary Euler's summary of the roundtable discussion and conversation circle.
- I-95 CC website upload of presentations and relevant discussion items.
- A combo of VII, expanded CVISN & DHS/TSA Public/Partnerships using trucks as the experimental test bed (cars can/should/will follow).
- E-mail registration list ASAP.
- E-mail presentations.
- A brief summary of Coalition action items resulting from the meeting.
- Names and contact info from all attendees
- Presentations available
- Minutes, renewed working relationships & new opportunities – all of which seem in evidence.
- Increased collaboration among federal and state agencies; new projects and initiatives.
- I-95 Coalition recommendation
- I think the ball is in our (the committee's) hands. Seems to me that the I-95 leadership laid out a direction and FHWA offered some insights for what may be positively viewed from their perspective. It's now time for the committees to produce. I'll be curious to see if the committees come through.
- Thanks for distribution of the presentations!
- My one suggestion is actually being fulfilled, with a planned joint meeting of the Delaware Valley and Potomac HOGs.
- I think it would be helpful, at subsequent annual meetings, to present a "short" what has happened on the topics presented at the previous annual meeting.
- More work on the issues of long term solution to corridor problems – alternatives like short sea
- The event encouraged me to pay more attention to coalition activities. Now I just have to follow through.
- Meeting minutes and posted presentations are good.

**5. *Do you have suggested locations for the 2006 Annual Meeting? (question only asked in e-mail evaluation)***

- Virginia
- Someplace warm (3)
- Preferably in the NY/NJ/Conn area
- Can't drive to Hawaii, so a location between DC and Albany/Hartford is OK. Balt to NY preferable and Phila would be excellent.
- We may want to hold the meeting further north (e.g. Maine) or further south (e.g. Florida). We should also consider having the meeting either the first week of December (no later) or mid-November, before Thanksgiving.
- North Carolina
- A warmer climate. I'm not sure what the options are. Perhaps if given a list of realistic options we might better respond. This needs to take into account access (law fare air carriers would be nice).
- Someplace that's not in a vacation destination (like Florida); otherwise I won't get permission to go. How about Wilmington, Delaware? It's easily accessible by train, and easy to fly to via Philadelphia or Baltimore.
- If in January, Miami.
- Saratoga was good for me!
- I wouldn't mind seeing GA DOT and Navigator. Let's go to Atlanta!
- Boston, Baltimore, Philadelphia
- Somewhere just a bit warmer than Saratoga!!
- How about Hershey, PA?
- Some place warmer.

Day 2

**Session: Reemerging Public/Private Partnerships for Operations**

	Rating				Avg.
	1	2	3	4	
Did the pre-meeting mailing help set the stage for the forum?	2	2	8	4	2.9
Overall how informative was the forum?		3	13	16	3.4
Overall how relevant and useful were the speakers' presentations?		5	12	15	3.3
Did the forum meet your expectations?		6	13	13	3.2

**Session: Balancing Mobility & Security in an Operations Environment**

	Rating				Avg.
	1	2	3	4	
Did the pre-meeting mailing help set the stage for the forum?	1	1	6	3	3.0
Overall how informative was the forum?	1	2	12	8	3.2
Overall how relevant and useful were the speakers' presentations?		6	9	7	3.0
Did the forum meet your expectations?	1	3	11	7	3.1

**Comments:**

<p><b>General Comments:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need more examples of PPP in information systems and data access.</li> <li>• PPPs – too many presentations...needed more time for discussions &amp; links to I-95 Coalition's agenda.</li> <li>• Need better signing in hotel – all entrances should have directions, arrows, I-95 labels, etc. Also should have copies of all presentations in book.</li> <li>• Didn't stay through all, so my ratings are only for those pieces attended.</li> <li>• PPP, while interesting, did not have much applicability to my present focus.</li> <li>• Provided good background and information – both sessions.</li> <li>• Interesting perspectives on PPP topic.</li> <li>• Unfortunately, had to miss 2<sup>nd</sup> Session on Day 2; look forward to I-95 CC web uploads!</li> <li>• Tolling strategy is un-American; increase fuel tax...Advocating higher fuel tax is a better way to maintain and achieve freedom through mobility.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Are there other topics that the Coalition should address through Information Exchange Forums?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safety, security and productivity should continue to be highlighted.</li> <li>• Need to emphasize commercial vehicles.</li> <li>• Interoperability of toll facilities. E-ZPass vs. SunPass in Florida – can't talk to each other.</li> <li>• Long-haul inter-city trucking needs. Real-time information, routing congestion pricing, security. We've spent a lot of time on rail ops, but need to understand trucking better.</li> <li>• A deeper exploration of the "major" PPP toll road projects, like the Trans-Texas corridor or North Carolina Turnpike would be great.</li> <li>• A lot of good, but fairly general info. More specifics would be good. Overall – great job!</li> <li>• Member current and future ATIS/511 activities.</li> <li>• Suggest a specific session on congestion mitigation via ITS – most especially via trucks and their VII test bed prospects. Also...including DHS/TSA was/is/will be a</li> </ul>

most welcome I-95 CC link. Security – most especially truck hazmat security – is long overdue and a public/private partnership would do much to improve safety/efficiency/security for the nation. No better place by which to do that than the I-95 CC with \_\_\_ being the keystone!

- Value Pricing – effects interstate & intra state
- Impact of globalization on Corridor.
- “ITS Update: Where we are, where we should be, where we need to go” – could provide a status of ITS infrastructure in the Corridor since the Coalition began with discussion of what else needs to be accomplished.
- I’ll have to think about this. My guess is that we’ll have hot topics during the year that will push to the forefront and this may be too early to focus on this.
- Bi-national issues.
- Performance Measures and Management